

S&P Dow Jones Indices

A Division of **S&P Global**

S&P DJI ESG Score *Methodology*

March 2019

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
S&P DJI ESG Scores	2
S&P DJI ESG Score Methodology	3
Corporate Sustainability Assessment and SAM Data Collection	3
Anchor Universe	3
Score Calculation	3
Missing Data Treatment	4
Normalization	5
Total Score	5
Probability Score	6
Media & Stakeholder Analysis	6
S&P DJI ESG Score Maintenance	7
S&P DJI ESG Score Timeline	7
S&P DJI ESG Score Governance	8
S&P DJI ESG Score Methodology Committee	8
Disclaimer	9

Introduction

S&P DJI ESG Scores

S&P Dow Jones Indices (“S&P DJI”), in association with SAM¹, together provide Environmental, Social, and Governance (“ESG”) scores (together the “S&P DJI ESG Scores”) that robustly measure companies’ financially material ESG factors. S&P DJI ESG Scores are based on SAM’s Corporate Sustainability Assessment (CSA), an analysis of ESG factors developed and enhanced since 1999, to identify companies well-equipped to recognize and respond to emerging sustainability opportunities and challenges in the global market.

SAM pursues an integrated approach to analyzing sustainability performance. An interdisciplinary team of analysts design, monitor, and refine the annual CSA with the purpose of generating additional insights into companies’ value-creating and risk-mitigating ESG potential. The assessment focuses on sustainability criteria that are financially relevant to corporate performance, valuation, and security selection.

For the purposes of ESG assessment, companies are assigned to industries defined by SAM and the assessment is largely specific to each industry. SAM uses the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS®) as its starting point for determining industry classification. At the industry group and sector levels, the SAM Industries match the standard GICS classifications, but some nonstandard aggregations are done at the industry level.

In an effort to further mitigate biases within the data used to determine SAM’s ESG scores, and thereby provide a stronger signal of material ESG factors, S&P DJI collaborated with SAM to further refine the score construction methodology by examining how the underlying data points collected by the CSA are managed and scored. The result is the final S&P DJI ESG Score, which more accurately depicts companies’ overall ESG sensitivity relative to other companies categorized in the same industry.

¹ SAM is a registered trademark of RobecoSAM AG. SAM is used to market services and products of a business unit within RobecoSAM, which specializes in providing ESG data, ESG rating services, and ESG benchmarking. SAM is not a separate legal entity.

S&P DJI ESG Score Methodology

Corporate Sustainability Assessment and SAM Data Collection

Annually in March SAM issues a CSA request to over 3,400 companies based on size, region, and country. The CSA uses 61 SAM Industries, derived from GICS, to analyze companies using industry-specific questionnaires that evaluate a range of financially relevant sustainability criteria. The CSA analysis awards an ESG score to each company.

Companies that fail to respond to the CSA, or those outside the universe of invited companies, can still be assessed and receive an ESG Score. In those cases, SAM analysts assess those companies using available data, and form self-assessed scores.

For more information on the CSA process, please refer to the SAM website:
<https://www.robecosam.com/>.

Anchor Universe

The CSA is performed on a universe of companies on an annual basis. Included in this universe are the constituents of the S&P Global LargeMidCap Index and S&P Global 1200 as of the September review effective date. The combination of the constituents of these indices determines the “anchor universe” used as a benchmark to normalize the S&P DJI ESG Scores. The anchor universe composition remains constant until the subsequent September.

Score Calculation

During the CSA process ESG indicators are calculated for each company covering various sustainability topics. Within each industry, each indicator has a different weight in the final ESG score calculation, which is calculated as the weighted sum of the indicators. Weights are defined in the SAM CSA, and reviewed each year on the basis of the financial materiality of each topic to the specific industry by SAM.

The equation for the S&P DJI ESG scores is as follows:

$$T_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{I(i)jt} \tilde{c}_{ijt}$$

In the above equation, the notations represent the following:

- i = 1, 2, ..., : denotes the companies in the anchor universe
- t = 1, 2, ..., : denotes the assessment years
- j = 1, 2, ..., N : denotes the question level indicators
- \tilde{c}_{ijt} = the adjusted indicator j for company i for year t . The adjustment is described below in the normalization section, and is calculated based on the question level indicators c_{ijt}
- c_{ijt} = the indicator j at question level for company i , i.e. the SAM ESG score from the CSA

$w_{I(i)jt}$ = the weight of indicator j for company i on assessment year t , where the sum of all weights for a specific company in a specific year is one:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N w_{I(i)jt} = 1, \forall i, t.$$

$I(i) \in \{1, \dots, 61\}$ = the industry of company i

The weights $w_{I(i)jt}$ are dependent on the specific SAM Industry due to the ESG methodology's industry-specific approach as defined by SAM. Therefore, the weights for each indicator j vary across industries. Some ESG indicators are used across all industries, whereas some are industry-specific. If an indicator is not relevant for a specific industry, the corresponding industry weight is zero.

Missing Data Treatment

To account for the information bias that exists as a result of data being provided directly by the companies through the CSA vs data being collected by SAM analyst, as well as the differences in transparency found in corporate sustainability reporting, a framework is used to deal with missing data for questions where a low level of publicly available information is available. This framework is only applied to all companies assessed by Sam without company input. This is due to the amount of publicly available data being less than what companies can provide when filling in questionnaires themselves. Generally, CSA questions are deemed mandatory if the information is found by SAM analysts for more than 50% of companies. SAM industry experts also have discretion to categorize specific questions as mandatory based on their fundamental importance, regardless of their answer rate, or to deem questions as non-mandatory even if they have answer rates greater than 50%.

In 2017 and 2018 the SAM CSA methodology was revised, and emphasis placed on adding questions to the CSA that require publicly available information from all companies, including participating companies. This is in line with increasing investor expectations on corporate transparency and rising expectations on public disclosures. As part of this review, the "public" questions were added to the list of mandatory questions used in the S&P DJSI scoring methodology. As a result, the mandatory flags for the 2018 scoring methodology are based on the 50% rule previously described and all "public" questions are added to the mandatory questions if not already selected in the first step. As a result of this change, all Dimensions (of which there are three: environmental, social, and governance) within the CSA contain a minimum set of mandatory questions, and no dimension can be marked as "not applicable", preserving the overall weights of each dimension in each industry within the Total Score.

If no information was found on a mandatory question, a score of zero is assigned to that question, and the total score for that company is calculated inclusive of the zero. Thus companies are penalized for a lack of reporting.

If no information is found on a non-mandatory question, the question is disregarded in the total score calculation. The weight of the indicator is redistributed among the weights of all other indicators. Following the notation above, the process followed in the case of missing information is the following for company i and question j at time t :

- If question j is mandatory, then $c_{ijt} = 0$ and the total score is calculated as usual.
- If question j is not mandatory, then $w_{I(i)jt} = 0$ and the weights are redistributed among the other questions in the same criteria/dimension pertaining to the company's SAM industry. The new weights for the other questions k in that criteria/dimension for company i are defined as follows:

$$w'_{I(i)kt} = \frac{w_{I(i)kt}}{1 - w_{I(i)jt}}, \forall i \text{ and } \forall k \neq j \text{ in that criteria/dimension}$$

Normalization

In addition to the missing data biases, there are other naturally embedded biases in the data. Some questions are harder than others to score well on, resulting in a lower average score. Some questions also have multiple outliers or present most of the scores concentrated in a small range of values. In order to eliminate these biases, we normalize the ESG indicators. The normalized figures measure the difference between a specific value and the industry mean, accounting for the standard deviation of values for the industry.

For example, in an industry where most companies score between 60 and 70, a company scoring 80 is very good, and will receive a high score. In an industry where most of the companies score between 90 and 100, a score of 80 is quite low. This will lead to a lower score for the company compared to peers. If 95% of the companies in an industry score between 80 and 90, a company scoring 95 is far above the peers. In an industry where the score range is between 50 and 90, then a score of 95 is further above.

The normalization is performed by a sigmoid-function on a standard z-score:

$$\tilde{c}_{ijt} = \sum \left(\frac{c_{ijt} - \bar{c}_{I(i)jt}}{\sigma_{I(i)jt}} \right)$$

where:

$\bar{c}_{I(i)jt}$ = the average for indicator c_{ijt} within the peer group $I(i)$

$\sigma_{I(i)jt}$ = the corresponding standard deviation

$\sum(x) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-x}} - 1$ = the sigmoid-function, which smooths the final indicator is in the range $(-1,1)$

The average and the standard deviation are calculated only among the anchor universe within industries. Additional companies which are assessed in following months use the same normalization parameters to normalize the indicators. Consequently, their score will not modify the parameters.

Total Score

The total S&P DJI ESG Score of a company is the weighted sum of the normalized indicators. The aggregation can be done on different levels (aspect level, dimension level, and total), with each company receiving one score for each aspect to which its industry is assigned, three dimension level scores (Environmental Score, Social Score, and Governance Score) and one Total Score. The weights used in the sum depend on the company's industry and the aggregation level.

For example, the total score at the aspect level is calculated as a weighted sum of the scores of each question in that aspect, while the dimension level total score is the weighted sum of scores of all aspects in that dimension. The total S&P DJI ESG Score is defined as:

$$T_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{I(i)jt} \tilde{c}_{ijt}$$

where:

$j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are the set of indicators considered at the specific aggregation level.

Total S&P DJI ESG Scores can still be biased, as some industries tend to have better total scores than others. As a result, the total S&P DJI ESG Scores are also normalized. The normalized total S&P DJI ESG Score for each company i at year t is below:

$$\tilde{T}_{it} = \frac{T_{it} - \bar{T}_{I(i)t}}{\sigma_{I(i)t}}$$

where:

$\bar{T}_{I(i)t}$ = the average of the total scores for industry I and assessment year t,

$\sigma_{I(i)t}$ = the corresponding standard deviation

When Z-scores are calculated, the average and the standard deviation are calculated only among the anchor universe within Industries. Additional companies which are self-assessed (SA) by SAM in following months use the same normalization parameters to normalize the indicators. Consequently, their score will not modify the parameters.

Probability Score

The normalized total S&P DJI ESG Score gives a measure of the performance of each company compared to its SAM industry group peers. However, the total score is not indicative of how the company is positioned in percentage at GICS sector or industry group levels. The Probability Score represents what percentage of an infinitely large population each company would be better than. The Probability Score is defined as the normal cumulative density function with mean 0 and standard deviation 1 calculated on the normalized total S&P DJI ESG Score for company *i* and assessment year *t* as defined in the equation below:

$$P_{it} = F_t(\tilde{T}_{it})$$

Probability Scores are then multiplied by 100, resulting in a final S&P DJI ESG Score.

Media & Stakeholder Analysis

The Media & Stakeholder Analysis (MSA) forms an integral part of the SAM Corporate Sustainability Assessment. MSA enables SAM to monitor companies' sustainability performance on an ongoing basis, by assessing current controversies with potentially negative reputational or financial impacts. SAM monitors news coverage of assessed companies on a daily basis using news stories from the media and stakeholder groups compiled and pre-screened by RepRisk. RepRisk is leading business intelligence provider specializing in environmental, social and governance issues. News stories covered by the MSA include a range of issues. These include issues such as economic crime or corruption, fraud, illegal commercial practices, human rights issues, labor disputes, workplace safety, catastrophic accidents or environmental violations.

Each indicator is linked to a specific criteria in the SAM CSA. Each MSA Case has a score and works as a multiplier to the corresponding Criteria score: no MSA cases mean that the company gets full score for that Criteria, while an MSA case reduces the score of the company in the Criteria by a specific percentage. Multiple cases corresponding to the same Criteria for a single company may have a significantly higher impact than a single case, as the multiplication factors are summed up. More information on the MSA methodology can be found in the [MSA Methodology Guidebook](#).

S&P DJI ESG Score Maintenance

S&P DJI ESG Score Timeline

S&P DJI ESG Scores are updated on an annual basis in two separate batches. The initial batch, updated on an annual basis in September using input data gathered from that year's CSA assessment, includes the top 2,500 stocks in the coverage universe by float-adjusted market capitalization. The second batch, updated on an annual basis in March using input data gathered from the prior year's CSA assessment, contains every other company in the coverage universe. For example, a company in the second batch would receive an updated S&P DJI ESG Score in March of 2020, calculated with data from the CSA conducted in 2019.

The mean and standard deviation used in the S&P DJI ESG Score standardization are derived from the covered companies in the anchor universe in batch one annually in September. Companies in the anchor universe that are scored as part of batch two are normalized using the mean and standard deviation from the past September.

S&P DJI ESG Score Governance

S&P DJI ESG Score Methodology Committee

The S&P DJI ESG Score methodology and structure fall under the overall supervision of the S&P DJI ESG Score Committee, with committee members from both S&P Dow Jones Indices and SAM. The Committee meets regularly. At each meeting the Committee reviews the scoring methodology to ensure the methodology is accurate, transparent, and complete in the description of how S&P DJI ESG Scores are calculated. In addition, the Committee may revise the methodology process if the committee determines a change is needed to better implement the intent of the scoring process.

S&P Dow Jones Indices' Committees reserve the right to make exceptions when applying the methodology if the need arises. In any scenario where the treatment differs from the general rules stated in this document or supplemental documents, clients will receive sufficient notice, whenever possible.

In addition to the daily governance of the S&P ESG scores and maintenance of the S&P ESG Score methodology, at least once within any 12-month period, the Committee reviews the methodology to ensure the methodology continues to achieve its purpose, and that the data and calculation process remain effective.

Disclaimer

Copyright © 2019 S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. All rights reserved. STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P, S&P 500, S&P 500 LOW VOLATILITY INDEX, S&P 100, S&P COMPOSITE 1500, S&P MIDCAP 400, S&P SMALLCAP 600, S&P GIVI, GLOBAL TITANS, DIVIDEND ARISTOCRATS, S&P TARGET DATE INDICES, GICS, SPIVA, SPDR and INDEXOLOGY are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P"). DOW JONES, DJ, DJIA and DOW JONES INDUSTRIAL AVERAGE are registered trademarks of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). These trademarks together with others have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, S&P, Dow Jones or their respective affiliates (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices") do not have the necessary licenses. Except for certain custom index calculation services, all information provided by S&P Dow Jones Indices is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons. S&P Dow Jones Indices receives compensation in connection with licensing its indices to third parties and providing custom calculation services. Past performance of an index is not an indication or guarantee of future results.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index may be available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Dow Jones Indices does not sponsor, endorse, sell, promote or manage any investment fund or other investment vehicle that is offered by third parties and that seeks to provide an investment return based on the performance of any index. S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no assurance that investment products based on the index will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC is not an investment advisor, and S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle. A decision to invest in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle should not be made in reliance on any of the statements set forth in this document. Prospective investors are advised to make an investment in any such fund or other vehicle only after carefully considering the risks associated with investing in such funds, as detailed in an offering memorandum or similar document that is prepared by or on behalf of the issuer of the investment fund or other investment product or vehicle. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC is not a tax advisor. A tax advisor should be consulted to evaluate the impact of any tax-exempt securities on portfolios and the tax consequences of making any particular investment decision. Inclusion of a security within an index is not a recommendation by S&P Dow Jones Indices to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

These materials have been prepared solely for informational purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content contained in these materials (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof ("Content") may be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Dow Jones Indices and its third-party data providers and licensors (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties") do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS. S&P DOW JONES INDICES PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties be

liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

S&P Global keeps certain activities of its various divisions and business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions and business units of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other business units. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

In addition, S&P Dow Jones Indices provides a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate or otherwise address.

RobecoSAM AG and/or its successors or affiliates own certain intellectual property rights with respect to the Dow Jones Sustainability Indexes, which rights have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices for use.

RobecoSAM AG, Josefstrasse 218, 8005 Zurich, Switzerland its related and affiliated companies (“RobecoSAM”) provides ESG research data derived from its proprietary corporate sustainability assessment for use with the S&P Dow Jones Indices. RobecoSAM shall not be liable in relation to any direct, indirect or consequential damages in relation to the S&P Dow Jones Indices offering nor any application of RobecoSAM ESG research data. RobecoSAM disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for any particular purpose.