

# Proving the market observability of derivatives and consensus

## Totem Trade Data Services:

Transaction/ quote analytics and reporting for derivatives markets, including Totem consensus scoring and back testing, liquidity reports, analytics, and customized reporting for clients. Use cases include fair value leveling, day 1 P&L review, valuation adjustments, consensus assessment/scoring, concentration risk, liquidity risk, audit, and regulatory review.

“Totem’s price volatility based back-testing reports help our team operationally in a very significant way. The back testing is essentially a corroboration of the consensus levels, and where we can evidence a high back testing pass-rate percentage, it gives us comfort to disclose those products as Level 2 in the Firm’s Fair Value Hierarchy levelling disclosures.”

– GSIB Bank Valuation Controller

### The question: Is consensus pricing reflective of the market?

As global regulatory scrutiny increases on banks, the need to substantiate independent valuation decisions has also grown. Valuation control groups at banks across the globe use the Totem consensus data to independently verify derivative fair values across equities, rates, FX, commodities, and XVA. Totem consensus data provides the average of accepted prices from active market participants that submit their best estimates of mid-market values across liquid and illiquid instruments. Given the nature of derivative markets and the consensus, not all points published are actively trading. So, how do you prove that the consensus accurately reflects the market?

### The solution: Compare the consensus to transactions and executable quotes.

We use transactions and market color, such as executable quotes, to back test the consensus to prove that it accurately reflects the market. A large global bank (referred to as the “GSIB Bank”) and Totem worked together to create customized back testing logic for each asset class based on available market color and consensus fields.

The Bank applied the following customized back test to S&P Global Market Intelligence Trade Data:

- $$x = \frac{\text{Trade Price} - \text{Consensus Price}}{\text{Consensus Standard Deviation} + \text{Volatility of Consensus Price}}$$
- If  $x > 1$  = Fail or if  $x < 1$  = Pass
- Consensus Standard Deviation is the same as published in the results file for the trade date of the trade being tested.
- Volatility of Consensus Price is calculated as the standard deviation of the consensus for the report look-back period (e.g., one month, three months, etc.)

This back testing approach shows the differences between trades and consensus in the context of price volatility and consensus volatility over that period, thereby isolating true variances in volatile markets. From the GSIB Bank’s perspective, “Totem’s price volatility based back-testing reports help our team operationally in a very significant way.”



The GSIB Bank added, “The back testing is essentially a corroboration of the consensus levels, and where we can evidence a high back testing pass-rate percentage, it gives us comfort to disclose those products as Level 2 in the Firm’s Fair Value Hierarchy levelling disclosures.” In addition, previously the GSIB Bank back tested Totem consensus levels manually using DTCC trade data. One of the valuation controllers at the GSIB Bank remarked that, “this was not only very operationally intensive (meaning we could only run it once every six months) but also given DTCC’s data quality issues and lack of non-US institution trading activity, the results were also subpar compared to Totem’s new back testing reports that leverage OSTTRA / MarkitServ trade data.”

## Calculating price volatility and the price volatility score

Table 1 below shows results for the back testing of an instrument over a 19-day period. The instrument consensus price is observed over that period and a price volatility or “PriceVol” is calculated as the standard deviation of the instruments over the observed period. This is additive and considers the volatility in the price of the instrument over the observed period.

Table 1: Price volatility calculation example

| Instrument Observed                          | Price         |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Day 1                                        | 102           |
| Day 2                                        | 101           |
| Day 3                                        | 102           |
| Day 4                                        | 100           |
| Day 5                                        | 100           |
| Day 6                                        | 101           |
| Day 7                                        | 102           |
| Day 8                                        | 101           |
| Day 9                                        | 102           |
| Day 10                                       | 100           |
| Day 11                                       | 101           |
| Day 12                                       | 102           |
| Day 13                                       | 100           |
| Day 14                                       | 101           |
| Day 15                                       | 100           |
| Day 16                                       | 100           |
| Day 17                                       | 102           |
| Day 18                                       | 101           |
| Day 19                                       | 101           |
| <b>Price Volatility - Standard Deviation</b> | <b>0.7947</b> |

Table 2: Line-by-line score calculation

| Example | Consensus Price | Trade Price | Consensus Standard Deviation | Price Volatility | Price Volatility Score |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Pass    | 100             | 101         | 1                            | 0.7947           | 0.56                   |
| Fail    | 105             | 95          | 1                            | 0.7947           | 5.57                   |

## Analyzing the results

Applying this logic to trades in each risk bucket over a period allows client firms to see the cumulative pass percentage of each bucket, as well as the ability to simply add testing results across multiple periods. Below is an example result summary for USD Swaptions.

Table 3: USD Swaptions Cumulative Pass Percentage results example

| Term | Tenor | Relative Strike | Trades | Dealer to Dealer Trades | Dealer to Client Trades | Vega        | Trades Tested | Trades Passed | Pass Percentage |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 120  | 120   | ATM             | 82     | 74                      | 8                       | 417,666,466 | 82            | 80            | 98%             |
| 120  | 120   | OTM+            | 3      | 3                       | 0                       | 67,041,552  | 3             | 3             | 100%            |
| 120  | 120   | OTM-            | 5      | 5                       | 0                       | 84,396,876  | 3             | 2             | 67%             |

The results in Table 3 can be used for multiple valuation controls focused on observability, including fair value leveling, day 1 P&L review, and overall consensus back testing. For example, the GSIB bank that collaborated with Totem to create this logic uses the results to substantiate fair value leveling, explaining that “specifically, we take the raw files and pivot them to reflect the bucketing in our fair value hierarchy levelling process (e.g., < 10y, > 10y) and then take the aggregated back testing pass-rate for that bucket. If the pass-rate is > 50%, we keep that bucket as Level 2. Otherwise, it is flagged for a potential move to Level 3.”

“If the pass-rate is > 50%, we keep that bucket as Level 2. Otherwise, it is flagged for a potential move to Level 3.”

– GSIB Bank Valuation Controller

Price volatility based back testing reports are available for several instrument types including swaptions, cap/floors, and equity options. Totem Trade Data Services and the GSIB Bank continue working together to further develop the reports.

## Passing the test with regulators and auditors

Over 20 banks globally use S&P Global Market Intelligence Totem Trade Data Services to support consensus back testing, fair value leveling, and other use cases. In addition to SOC1 accreditation, the services have also been reviewed by global regulators, external auditors, and bank valuation committees.

## S&P Global Market Intelligence Totem Trade Data Services Overview



### Cross asset coverage


**Interest rates** – yield curve, basis swaps, inflation, cross currency swaps, swaptions, cap/floors

**Equities** – vanilla options (indices, stocks, and ETFs), variance swaps

**FX** – vanilla options

**Commodities** – vanilla options, swaps/forwards (in development)

*Totem Broker Data Services subscriptions are available separately from Fenics, Tradition, Vectalis, and more*



### Analytics

**Visualizations:** Implied trade volatility vs. consensus, liquidity heatmaps, historical trading trends, bid/ask spread trends

**Customized reporting:** Apply your firm's unique logic to our trade data; use cases include fair value leveling, back testing, risk, and more



### Client specific trade data services

Clients can upload their own trades or quotes for back testing or other analytics

Common client trade back testing or analytics with OSTTRA / MarkitSERV

For more information on Totem Trade Data Services, contact: [totemtradedata@spglobal.com](mailto:totemtradedata@spglobal.com)

## Contact Us

**Asia-Pacific**

+852-2533-3565

**Europe, Middle East & Africa**

+44-207-176-1234

**The Americas**

+1 877 863 1306

Copyright © 2024 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

These materials, including any software, data, processing technology, index data, ratings, credit-related analysis, research, model, software or other application or output described herein, or any part thereof (collectively the "Property") constitute the proprietary and confidential information of S&P Global Market Intelligence or its affiliates (each and together "S&P Global") and/or its third-party provider licensors. S&P Global on behalf of itself and its third-party licensors reserves all rights in and to the Property. These materials have been prepared solely for information purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable.

Any copying, reproduction, reverse-engineering, modification, distribution, transmission or disclosure of the Property, in any form or by any means, is strictly prohibited without the prior written consent of S&P Global. The Property shall not be used for any unauthorized or unlawful purposes. S&P Global Market Intelligence's opinions, statements, estimates, projections, quotes and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security, and there is no obligation on S&P Global Market Intelligence to update the foregoing or any other element of the Property. S&P Global Market Intelligence may provide index data. Direct investment in an index is not possible. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. The Property and its composition and content are subject to change without notice.

THE PROPERTY IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS. NEITHER S&P GLOBAL NOR ANY THIRD PARTY PROVIDERS (TOGETHER, "S&P GLOBAL PARTIES") MAKE ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE PROPERTY'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE PROPERTY WILL OPERATE IN ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION, NOR ANY WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO ITS ACCURACY, AVAILABILITY, COMPLETENESS OR TIMELINESS, OR TO THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED FROM THE USE OF THE PROPERTY. S&P GLOBAL PARTIES SHALL NOT IN ANY WAY BE LIABLE TO ANY RECIPIENT FOR ANY INACCURACIES, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS REGARDLESS OF THE CAUSE. Without limiting the foregoing, S&P Global Parties shall have no liability whatsoever to any recipient, whether in contract, in tort (including negligence), under warranty, under statute or otherwise, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by any recipient as a result of or in connection with the Property, or any course of action determined, by it or any third party, whether or not based on or relating to the Property. In no event shall S&P Global be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees or losses (including without limitation lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Property even if advised of the possibility of such damages. The Property should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

The S&P Global logo is a registered trademark of S&P Global, and the trademarks of S&P Global used within this document or materials are protected by international laws. Any other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

The inclusion of a link to an external website by S&P Global should not be understood to be an endorsement of that website or the website's owners (or their products/services). S&P Global is not responsible for either the content or output of external websites. S&P Global keeps certain activities of its divisions separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other S&P Global divisions. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process. S&P Global may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P Global reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P Global Ratings' public ratings and analyses are made available on its sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge) and [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global publications and third party redistributors.