Green Evaluation

Adani Green Energy Ltd. Restricted Group 2
Proposed US$362.5 Million Green Bonds

Transaction Overview

On Oct. 3, 2019, Adani Renewable Energy (RJ) Ltd. (ARERJL), Kodangal Solar Parks Private Ltd. (KSPPL), and Wardha Solar (Maharashtra) Pte. Ltd. (WSMPL) (collectively Adani Green Energy Ltd. Restricted Group 2, or the issuers) are seeking to issue US$362.5 million in green bonds to finance and refinance solar photovoltaic (PV) power plants and related transmission infrastructure in India.

The issuers comprise three wholly-owned subsidiaries of Adani Green Energy Ltd. (AGEL), an independent power producer in India. The issuers own and operate a portfolio of 10 utility-scale solar energy projects, with 570 megawatts (MW) of total installed capacity.

In our opinion, this transaction is aligned with the Green Bond Principles 2018 (GBP).

Green Evaluation Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction's Transparency</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
<th>Green Benefit Ranking</th>
<th>Hierarchy Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of proceeds reporting</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting comprehensiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction's Governance</th>
<th>Overall Score</th>
<th>Green Benefit Ranking</th>
<th>Hierarchy Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management of proceeds</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact assessment structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsector: Alternative Energy
Location (HQ): India
Financing value: US$362.5 million
Amount evaluated: 100%
Evaluation date: Oct. 3, 2019
Contact: Cheng Jia Ong
+65-6239-6302
chengjia.ong@spglobal.com
Abhishek Dangra
+ 65-6216-1121
abhishek.dangra@spglobal.com

Weighted aggregate of three (Transparency + Governance + Mitigation)
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Project Description

The issuers are wholly owned subsidiaries of AGEL. Their asset portfolio consists of 10 operational utility-scale solar power projects with 570 MW of total installed capacity, spread across two states in India:

- Karnataka (65% of total capacity)
- Rajasthan (35%)

The issuers sell solar power on long-term, fixed-price power purchase agreements (PPAs) to central government agencies and state-owned distribution companies (DISCOMs). Over 61% of their total capacity is subject to long-term PPAs with Solar Energy Corp. of India, with the remaining 39% subject to long-term PPAs with state DISCOMs.

The issuers will use bond proceeds to refinance existing borrowings at the issuers' level, and to finance new "eligible green projects" as defined by the issuers. Existing borrowings were previously used to purchase property, plants, and equipment for their solar electricity generation facilities and their dedicated transmission infrastructure. Eligible green projects will comprise exclusively 100% solar PV power plants and dedicated transmission infrastructure for them.

Scoring Summary

This transaction achieves an overall score of 90 out of 100, equivalent to a Green Evaluation score of E1, on our scale from E1 (highest) to E4 (lowest). We determined the E1/90 score by taking a weighted aggregate of the transaction’s robust Transparency (89) and Governance (93) scores, as well as its favorable environmental impact and resultant excellent Mitigation score (90). Our view of the position of solar PV-based technologies at the top of our carbon hierarchy and the associated avoided carbon emissions contribute to the overall score. We allocate 100% of the proceeds toward solar power generation and related transmission infrastructure, which is used exclusively to connect solar power plants to power grids.

90

The Governance score of 93 benefits from the issuers' green bond framework, which is subject to independent assurance and has a clear project selection criteria. Bond proceeds will be transferred into an escrow account, and funds will only be disbursed for projects that meet the framework's criteria. The issuers also commit to using bond proceeds exclusively for refinancing existing debt from or for financing solar power generation projects.

In our opinion, this transaction is aligned with the GBP.

Rationale

- The excellent Mitigation score (90) primarily reflects our view of the contribution of solar-based power generation to systematic decarbonization. This score is also supported by the significant amount of carbon emissions that will be cut from the Indian grid, which relies heavily on coal. This score is somewhat offset by the limited capacity of solar photovoltaics (PV) compared to other renewable technologies.

- The Transparency score (89) benefits from the issuers' commitment to publicly report its allocation of proceeds and the project's environmental impact on an annual basis. However, the issuers are not planning to disclose the methodology used to calculate the avoided greenhouse gas emissions.

- The Governance score (93) benefits from the issuers' green bond framework, which has independent assurance and a clear project selection criteria. Bond proceeds will be transferred into an escrow account, and funds will only be disbursed for projects that meet the framework's criteria. The issuers also commit to use bond proceeds exclusively for refinancing existing debt from or for financing solar power generation projects.
Key Strengths And Weaknesses

All bond proceeds are allocated to solar PV power generation and transmission projects in India, which in our view will lead to significant potential carbon savings; India’s high carbon-intensive grid relies on fossil fuels for most of its generation. We also believe solar PV electricity generates less waste than hydro or wind power due to its lower capacity factor. That said, we rank solar PV power generation lower in terms of environmental benefit compared to small hydro projects and wind power given its typically shorter asset life and lower capacity factor. All of the above factors result in a Net Benefit Ranking of 58.

In our view, solar technology is a systemic decarbonization solution and therefore sits at the top of our carbon hierarchy which contributes to an uplift of the Net Benefit Ranking, resulting in a Mitigation score of 90.

We consider the transaction’s Transparency as above average. The issuers are committed to disclosing the allocation of proceeds on an annual basis, and confirm compliance with the green bond framework. The use of proceeds disclosure will be comprehensive, including information such as the location, installed capacity, and asset type of each project. The issuers will also provide ongoing disclosure of the expected reduction of carbon emissions from its solar power projects. The issuers disclosed their general framework and methodology for project selection, and the framework and methodology have been verified by KPMG. That said, the Transparency score is constrained by lack of detailed measurement methods for carbon emission reduction. In addition, the carbon emissions calculation only measures them during the operating phase of these projects, but does not consider emissions data from the construction or decommissioning phases.

The high Governance score reflects the robust framework that ensures bond proceeds are allocated to green projects. In our view, there is a strict mechanism in place to ensure the proceeds will be used exclusively for solar power generation. There is no commingling of funds between bond proceeds and the issuers’ funds that may be used for other general corporate purposes. Bond proceeds will be deposited into an escrow account, where the trustee will ensure funds are deployed for projects meeting the requirements under the green bond framework. Under the framework, the bond proceeds may only be used exclusively for solar electricity generation facilities where 100% of electricity generated is derived from solar energy resources, as well as wholly dedicated transmission infrastructure for solar electricity generation facilities. Because the transmission infrastructure also aids in India’s decarbonization, we treat it as a renewable energy project in our analysis.

Furthermore, we believe the funds will be deployed quickly to eligible projects, given that over 86% of the proceeds will be used immediately to repay existing debt. Most debt was incurred at WSMPL (about US$211 million) and was used to fund projects that met the framework’s requirements.

The issuers will vet prospective projects based on the assessed environmental and social impacts, in addition to commercial feasibility. The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment will be conducted according to International Finance Corp.’s performance standard, and will be externally verified by KPMG. The issuers also partner with their local communities on new projects and hire from local communities. The issuers also purchase private land with limited alternative uses by means of outright purchase or long-term lease, avoiding any issues related to rehabilitation and resettlement.

Based on our Transparency score of 89 and Governance score of 93, and that 100% of proceeds have or will be allocated to green projects, we expect this bond to meet the basics of the four pillars of the voluntary GBP given the issuer has committed to:

- Allocating the full amount of the net bond proceeds to eligible green projects, as defined by the issuer;
- Using clear “green” criteria (as defined by the issuer) to select projects for funding;
- Managing and tracking proceeds (potentially using sub-accounts); and
- Regular reporting on the environmental impact and use of proceeds.

A Green Evaluation is a point in time assessment and is not monitored.

### Sector level scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Use Of Proceeds (mil. US$)</th>
<th>Use Of Proceeds Treatment</th>
<th>Net Benefit Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Energy</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Solar PV Power</td>
<td>362.5</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

362.5
### Carbon Green Evaluation Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Baseline Carbon Intensity</th>
<th>Net Benefit Ranking</th>
<th>Carbon Hierarchy Adjustment</th>
<th>Environmental Impact Score</th>
<th>Proceeds (mil. US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar power</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Systemic decarbonization</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>362.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small hydro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large hydro (excluding tropical areas)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy management and control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green transport without fossil fuel combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green buildings – new build</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficient projects (industrial and appliance efficiencies)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green transport with fossil fuel combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green buildings refurbishment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large hydro in tropical areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal to natural gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner fuel production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner use of coal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weighted aggregate of three**

(Transparency + Governance + Mitigation)

**Overall Score**: E1/90

This product is not a credit rating.
Our Green Evaluation Approach

Weighted aggregate of three:

Transparency + Governance + Mitigation or Adaptation = Green Evaluation

Common approach used amongst opinion providers

- **Transparency**
  - Use of proceeds reporting
  - Reporting comprehensiveness

- **Governance**
  - Management of proceeds
  - Impact Assessment Structure

Unique to S&P Global Ratings

- **Mitigation**
  - Buildings, industrial efficiencies, energy infrastructure, transport, and water

- **Adaptation**
  - Resilience capex such as flood defenses, asset protection etc.

- **Net Benefit Ranking**
  - eKPI’s: Carbon, Waste, Water Use

- **Cost Benefit Ranking**
  - Resilience benefit ratio: Estimate of reduction in damages if event occurs

- **Hierarchy Applied**

- **Environmental Impact**

- **Resilience Level**

- **Mitigation Score**

- **Adaptation Score**

Final Green Evaluation (E1–E4 or R1–R4)

eKPI – Environmental Key Performance Indicator
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Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P) receives compensation for the provision of the Green Evaluation product (Product). S&P may also receive compensation for rating the transactions covered by the Product or for rating the issuer of the transactions covered by the Product. The purchaser of the Product may be the issuer or a third party.

The Product is not a credit rating. The Product does not consider state or imply the likelihood of completion of any projects covered by a given financing, or the completion of a proposed financing. The Product provides a relative ranking evaluation of the estimated environmental benefit of a given financing. The Product is a point in time assessment reflecting the information available at the time that the Product was created and published. The Product is not a research report and is not intended as such.

S&P's credit ratings, opinions, analyses, rating acknowledgment decisions, any views reflected in the Product and the output of the Product are not investment advice, recommendations regarding credit decisions, recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security, endorsements of the suitability of any security, endorsements of the accuracy of any data or conclusions provided in the Product, or independent verification of any information relied upon in the credit rating process. The Product and any associated presentations do not take into account any user's financial objectives, financial situation, needs or means, and should not be relied upon by users for making any investment decisions. The output of the Product is not a substitute for a user's independent judgment and expertise. The output of the Product is not professional financial, tax or legal advice, and users should obtain independent, professional advice as it is determined necessary by users.

While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Product. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for reliance of use of information in the Product, or for the security or maintenance of any information transmitted via the Internet, or for the accuracy of the information in the Product. The Product is provided on an "AS IS" basis. S&P PARTIES MAKE NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDED BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE ACCURACY, RESULTS, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH RESPECT TO THE PRODUCT, OR FOR THE SECURITY OF THE WEBSITE FROM WHICH THE PRODUCT IS ACCESSED. S&P Parties have no responsibility to maintain or update the Product or to supply any corrections, updates or releases in connection therewith. S&P Parties have no liability for the accuracy, timeliness, reliability, performance, continued availability, completeness or delays, omissions, or interruptions in the delivery of the Product.

To the extent permitted by law, in no event shall the S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence, loss of data, cost of substitute materials, cost of capital, or claims of any third party) in connection with any use of the Product even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

S&P maintains a separation between commercial and analytic activities. S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

For PRC only: Any “Green Evaluation” or “assessment” assigned by S&P Global Ratings: (a) does not constitute a credit rating, rating, green bond assessment or assessment as required under any relevant PRC laws or regulations, and (b) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this section, “PRC” refers to the mainland of the People’s Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.
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