## **Consumer Goods**

# **Key Sustainability Factors For ESG Evaluations**

Submit Your Feedback
Online | Email | Phone

#### **ANALYTICAL CONTACTS**

#### Florence Devevey

Paris

+33-1-4075-2501

florence.devevey@spglobal.com

#### Beth Burks

London

+ 44-20-7176-9829

beth.burks@spglobal.com

#### Barbara Castellano

Milan

+39-27-211-1253

barbara.castellano@spglobal.com



## **Approach**

Our key sustainability factors identify the most material environmental and social risks assessed in our ESG Evaluation. We assess the materiality¹ of those risks across the industry's value chain and reflect them in the weighting of our environmental and social factors. We also provide the quantitative indicators² used to assess a company's performance relative to its industry peers on each of those factors. For further information, please refer to our "Environmental, Social, And Governance Evaluation: Analytical Approach."

### Scope

The consumer goods sector covers a wide variety of companies in the durable and non-durable space, both branded and private labels. It includes companies producing alcoholic and nonalcoholic beverages, packaged food, luxury, apparel, household and personal care, and white-goods products.

#### Material Environmental Risks

Consumer goods companies are exposed to material environmental risks across their value chain:

- Waste management: waste associated with the end of life of the product and its packaging is likely to drive new regulation and result in substantial compliance costs.
- Environmental impact in the supply chain: the sector sources its raw materials from the
  agricultural, mining, forestry, chemicals, and oil and gas supply chains, which have
  significant land, water, emissions, and pollution effects.
- Environmental impact associated with product manufacturing, distribution, and use: these activities may result in significant water consumption, pollution, and energy use. The nature and scale of the impact largely depends on the nature of the product sold. New regulation may incentivize companies to reduce single-use products, switch to low-carbon freight, and develop energy- and water-efficient products and processes.

## **Environmental Factors: Weighting And KPIs**

The higher weight on waste and pollution primarily reflects the regulatory risks associated with the management of end-of-life products and packaging, in particular plastic. We apply an equal weighting to the three other factors (water, land use and biodiversity, and greenhouse gas emissions) to reflect the other multiple environmental effects across the industry's value chain.

In our ESG Evaluation, we use qualitative and quantitative indicators to inform our opinion on an entity's management of its environmental impact relative to industry peers. Examples of qualitative indicators include an entity's policies and commitments to ensure sustainable agriculture practices in its supply chain. The main quantitative performance indicators are listed in the table below.

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
Waste and pollution	40%	<ul> <li>Total waste (tonnes [t] of waste, by revenues and by volume)</li> <li>% of waste that is recycled/reused/recovered</li> <li>% of waste that is hazardous</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% packaging (including plastic) and end-of-life materials that are recycled/reused/recovered</li> <li>% of recycled materials in the products and in packaging (including plastic)</li> </ul>
\frac{\dagger}{\dagger}   \dagger Water	20%	<ul> <li>Water use intensity (cubic meters [m3] by revenues and by volume)</li> <li>Operations exposed to water-stressed regions (as % of cost of goods sold and plant locations)</li> <li>Net fresh water consumption (m3)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sustainable sourcing of main water-intensive raw materials (third-party certification)</li> <li>Source of material water impact across the value chain</li> </ul>
⊛ ⊛ Land use and biodiversity	20%	<ul> <li>Sustainable sourcing of main raw materials (third- party certification)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Traceability and third-party certification of commodities with high deforestation risks (e.g. palm oil, soybeans)</li> </ul>
Greenhouse gas emissions	20%	<ul> <li>Scope 1 emissions intensity (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent [tCo2e], by revenues and by volume)</li> <li>Scope 2 emissions intensity (tCo2e, by revenues and by volume)</li> <li>Energy intensity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>- % of energy that is sourced from renewable sources</li> <li>- Source and amount of material Scope 3 emissions</li> </ul>

spglobal.com/ratingsdirect July 22, 2020

#### **Material Social Risks**

Consumer goods companies are exposed to material social risks across their value chain:

- Fast-changing consumer preferences: innovation and product development are critical
  factors to navigate changing consumer preferences, support brand value, and maintain high
  levels of customer satisfaction and retention. In particular, we expect growing demand for
  sustainable products, transparent labelling and responsible advertising to continue and to
  push the industry to transition toward purpose-led brands.
- Product safety: the manufacturing and use of unsafe products, due to harmful components
  or product malfunction, can put the health of employees and users at risk, and result in
  substantial reputational and financial costs.
- Working conditions: the manufacturing and distribution of consumer goods, as well as the sourcing of raw materials, rely on a complex and global value chain. This exposes consumer goods companies to human rights breaches and poor working conditions, especially if their suppliers operate in regions with lower labor standards.

## Social Factors: Weighting And KPIs

We place a higher weight on customer engagement due to the consumer-facing nature of the industry and the resulting exposure to changing consumer preferences and high public scrutiny. We apply an equal weighting to safety management, communities, and workforce and diversity because the mismanagement of product safety, human rights, and sustainability could significantly damage the brands, financial performance, and reputation of companies in the industry.

In our ESG Evaluation, we use qualitative and quantitative indicators to inform our opinion on an entity's management of its social impact relative to industry peers. Examples of qualitative indicators include the quality and effectiveness of an entity's policies on responsible advertising and transparent labelling. The main quantitative performance indicators are listed in the table below.

Factor	Weight	Key Performance Indicators	Other performance indicators
Customer engagement	40%	<ul> <li>- % satisfied customers (out of total customers responding to company's survey)</li> <li>- R&amp;D spending as % of revenues</li> <li>- Newly commercialized products or services launched during the previous years as % of revenues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% of total customers using the company's online services solutions/sales platform, or % of revenues generated online</li> <li>% of distributors who have formal training by the company on quality</li> <li>Alignment of sustainability and brand strategy</li> </ul>
<del> </del>	20%	<ul> <li>Lost time injury frequency rate (LTIF)</li> <li>Number of fatalities</li> <li>Number and cost of product recalls as % of annual revenues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% of revenues of consumer products that include increased nutritional ingredients; are lowered in saturated fat, transfats, sodium or added sugars; are reformulated/renovated</li> <li>Occupational injury frequency rate (OIFR)</li> </ul>
Communities	20%	<ul> <li>% of own operations, Tier 1 suppliers, and joint venture assessed for human rights issues</li> <li>Amount of cash contributions, employee volunteering, and in-kind giving converted in reporting currency</li> </ul>	es — Sustainable sourcing of main raw materials (third- party certification)
MM Workforce and diversity	20%	<ul> <li>Voluntary/involuntary turnover rate (%)</li> <li>% of woman in total workforce, junior and senior management positions, and in revenue-generating functions</li> <li>Average amount spent per full-time-equivalent (FTE) employee on training and development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% of employees taking part in the employee survey</li> <li>Gender pay gap</li> <li>Average hours per FTE employee on training and development</li> <li>Benefits offered to workforce</li> </ul>

spglobal.com/ratingsdirect July 22, 2020 3

#### **Submit Feedback**

You can submit your feedback online, by email, or telephone.

Please specify which sector you are commenting on when submitting feedback.

We would particularly like to hear from you regarding:

- 1. Which risks are missing or not relevant?
- 2. Which KPIs are missing, could be enhanced, or are not relevant?
- 3. What views do you have on the suggested factor weights for the environmental and social analysis?
- 4. Do you have additional feedback(s) on this document?

#### **Endnotes**

<sup>1</sup> Events and issues are material for the ESG Evaluation when in our view they could meaningfully affect the entity's business operations, cash flows, legal or regulatory liabilities, access to capital, reputation, or relationships with key stakeholders and society more generally, either directly or through its value chain (upstream or downstream).

#### Related Research

- <u>"The ESG Risk Atlas: Sector And Regional Rationales And Scores."</u> published July 22, 2020
- "Our Updated ESG Risk Atlas And Key Sustainability Factors: A Companion Guide," published July 22, 2020
- "Environmental, Social, And Governance Evaluation: Analytical Approach," published June 17, 2020
- "How We Apply Our ESG Evaluation Analytical Approach: Part 2," published June 17, 2020
- <u>"ESG Evaluation: Unilever PLC/N.V.,"</u> published Dec. 10, 2019

## **Analytical Contacts**

Florence Devevey
Sustainable Finance
Paris
+33-1-4075-2501
florence.devevey
@spglobal.com

Paul Munday			
Sustainable Finance			
London			
+44-20-7176-0511			
paul.munday			
@spglobal.com			

Beth Burks
Sustainable Finance
London
+ 44-20-7176-9829
beth.burks
@spglobal.com

Henrik Cotran			
Sustainable Finance			
San Francisco			
+1-415-371-5018			
henrik.cotran			
@spglobal.com			

Barbara Castellano
Consumer Goods
Milan
+39-27-211-1253
barbara.castellano
@spglobal.com

Bernard de Longevialle
Sustainable Finance
Paris
+33-1-40-75-25-17
bernard.delongevialle
@spglobal.com

#### Noemie De La Gorce Sustainable Finance London +44-20-7176-9836 noemie.delagorce @spglobal.com

Hans Wright			
Sustainable Finance			
London			
+44-20-7176-7015			
hans.wright @spglobal.com			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We are mindful that some may be produced using different methodologies and scopes.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, <a href="https://www.standardandpoors.com">www.standardandpoors.com</a> (free of charge), and <a href="https://www.standardandpoors.com">www.ratingsdirect.com</a> (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at <a href="https://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees">www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees</a>.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.

spglobal.com/ratingsdirect July 22, 2020 5